arXiv:1604.07111v1 [math.OC] 25 Apr 2016

On an example for the Uniform Tauberian theorem in abstract control systems*

Dmitry Khlopin
khlopin@imm.uran.ru

April 26, 2016

Abstract

The paper is devoted to the asymptotic behavior of value functions of abstract control problem with the long-time and discounted averages. The Uniform Tauberian Theorem for these problems states that the uniform convergence of value functions for long-time averages (as the horizon tends to infinity) is equivalent to the uniform convergence of value functions for discounted averages (as the discount tends to zero), and that the limits are identical. According to Miquel Oliu-Barton and Guillaume Vigeral, this assertion holds if the set of all feasible processes is closed with respect to concatenation. In this paper, we refine this condition.

Keywords: Dynamic programming principle, Tauberian theorem, Abel mean, Cesaro mean, control problems.

MSC2010 49J15, 93C15.

Assume the following items are given:

- a nonempty set Ω;
- a nonempty subset $\mathbb{K}$ of mappings from $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to Ω;
- a running cost $g : \Omega \mapsto [0,1]$; for each process $z \in \mathbb{K}$, assume the map $t \mapsto g(z(t))$ is Borel-measurable.

For all $\omega \in \Omega$, define

$$\Gamma(\omega) \triangleq \{z \in \mathbb{K} \,|\, z(0) = \omega\} \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

as the set of all feasible processes $z \in \mathbb{K}$ that begin at ω.

*Krasovskii Institute, Yekaterinburg, Russia; Ural Federal University, Yekaterinburg, Russia

Let us now define the time average $v_T(z)$ and the discount average $w_\lambda(z)$ for each process $z \in \mathbb{K}$ by the rules:

$$v_T(z) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T g(z(t))\,dt, \quad w_\lambda(z) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \lambda\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g(z(t))\,dt \quad \forall T, \lambda > 0, z \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Also define the corresponding value functions:

$$V[v_T](\omega) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \inf_{z\in\Gamma(\omega)} v_T(z), \quad V[w_\lambda](\omega) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \inf_{z\in\Gamma(\omega)} w_\lambda(z) \qquad \forall \omega \in \Omega, T, \lambda > 0.$$

Note that the definitions are valid.

For all processes $z, z' : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \Omega$ and $h > 0$ such that $z(h) = z'(0)$, define the concatenation $z \diamond_h z' : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \Omega$ is as follows: $(z \diamond_h z')(t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} z(t)$ if $t < h$, and $(z \diamond_h z')(t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} z'(t-h)$, if $t \geq h$.

In initial version of Theorem 6 in [1], one stated that for a set $\mathbb{K}$ closed with respect to concatenation (for all $\tau > 0$),

The Tauberian theorem for an abstract control systems [1, Theorem 6] states that the following limits exist, are uniform in $\omega \in \Omega$, and coincide

$$\lim_{T\uparrow\infty} V[v_T](\omega) = \lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} V[w_\lambda](\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega \tag{1}$$

if at least one of these limits exists, and is uniform in $\omega \in \Omega$.

Note that initially in [1] it was assumed that for this theorem to hold, the set $\mathbb{K}$ should be closed with respect to concatenation. An example below will show that the requirement "$\mathbb{K}$ closed with respect to concatenation" is insufficient for it.

We agree that the proof of [1, Theorem 6] is correct (see the corrected version of the proof in https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00661833v2) if we additionally assume that any process obtained by cutting the beginning of a feasible process is also feasible, i.e., for every process $z \in \mathbb{K}$ and every time moment $\tau > 0$, the map $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \ni t \mapsto z(t+\tau)$ is also in $\mathbb{K}$. In this case,

$$\mathbb{K} = \{z' \diamond_\tau z'' \,|\, \forall z', z'' \in \mathbb{K}, z'(\tau) = z''(0)\} \qquad \forall \tau > 0. \tag{2}$$

Now, we can also formulate the Uniform Tauberian Theorem for abstract control system as follows:

Theorem. *Assume that* $\mathbb{K}$ *satisfies* (2).

Then, all limits in (1) *exist, are uniform in* $\omega \in \Omega$, *and coincide if at least one of these limits exists, and is uniform in* $\omega \in \Omega$.

The example.

Set $\Omega \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Define

$$g(x,y,r) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} 0 \text{ if } x \in [1,2], r = 0 \text{ and } g(x,y,r) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} 1, \text{ otherwise.}$$

For all $\omega = (x, y, r) \in \Omega$, define $a_\omega : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \Omega$ as follows:

$$a_\omega(t) = a_{(x,y,r)}(t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (x, y, t + r) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

In particular, $a_\omega(0) = \omega$ for all $\omega = (x, y, r) \in \Omega$.

Also, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, define $b_s : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \Omega$ as follows:

$$b_s(t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (st, t, 0) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

In particular, $b_s(0) = (0, 0, 0)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K} &\stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{a_\omega \,|\, \omega \in \Omega\} \cup \{b_s \,|\, s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\} \cup \{b_s \diamond_\tau a_{b_s(\tau)} \,|\, s, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ \Gamma(\omega) &\stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{z \in \mathbb{K} \,|\, z(0) = \omega\} \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma(\omega) = \{a_\omega\}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Note that $a_{(x,y,r)} \diamond_\tau z$ is well-defined for some $z \in \mathbb{K}$ iff $\tau > 0$ and $z \in \Gamma(a_{(x,y,r)}(\tau)) = \Gamma(x, y, r + \tau)$ iff $z = a_{a_{(x,y,r)}(\tau)}$. Moreover, $a_{(x,y,r)} \diamond_\tau a_{a_{(x,y,r)}(\tau)} = a_{(x,y,r)}$ for all $\tau > 0$.

Thus, $\mathbb{K}$ is closed with respect to concatenation.

It is easy to see that $v_T(a_\omega) = w_\lambda(a_\omega) = 1$ for all $\lambda, T > 0, \omega \in \Omega$. Therefore,

$$V[v_T](\omega) = V[w_\lambda](\omega) = 1 \qquad \forall \omega \in \Omega \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}.$$

Since

$$g(b_s(t)) \leq g((b_s \diamond_\tau a_{b_s(\tau)})(t)) \leq g(b_0(t)) = g((b_0 \diamond_\tau a_{b_0(\tau)})(t)) = 1 \quad \forall s, t, \tau \geq 0,$$

we obtain

$$v_T(b_s) \leq v_T(b_s \diamond_\tau a_{b_s(\tau)}), \quad w_\lambda(b_s) \leq w_\lambda(b_s \diamond_\tau a_{b_s(\tau)}).$$

Then,

$$V[w_\lambda](0, 0, 0) = \inf_{s>0} w_\lambda(b_s), \quad V[v_T](0, 0, 0) = \inf_{s>0} v_T(b_s) \qquad \forall T, \lambda > 0.$$

It is easy to prove that $v_T(b_{T/2}) = 1/2 \geq v_T(b_s)$ for all $s > 0$. Therefore,

$$V[v_T](0, 0, 0) = 1/2 \quad \forall T > 0.$$

In addition, for any $s, \lambda > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(b_s) &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g(b_s(t))\, dt \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (1 - 1_{[1,2]}(st))\, dt \\ &= 1 - \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} 1_{[1,2]}(st)\, dt \\ &= 1 - \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau} 1_{[1,2]}(s\tau/\lambda)\, d\tau \\ &= 1 - \int_{\lambda/s}^{2\lambda/s} e^{-\tau}\, d\tau \\ &= 1 - (e^{-\lambda/s} - e^{-2\lambda/s}) \geq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 - x + x^2) = 3/4. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $s = \lambda / \ln 2$, we have $e^{-\lambda/s} = 1/2$, $w_\lambda(b_s) = 1 - 1/2 + 1/4 = 3/4$. Hence,

$$V[w_\lambda](0,0,0) = w_\lambda(b_{\lambda/\ln 2}) = 3/4 \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Thus, the following limits exist, are uniform on Ω,

$$\lim_{T \uparrow \infty} V[v_T](\omega) = V[v_1](\omega), \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V[w_\lambda](\omega) = V[w_1](\omega) \qquad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

Remember that $\mathbb{K}$ is closed with respect to concatenation. Then, the Uniform Tauberian Theorem for abstract control system would implies that

$$V[w_1] \equiv V[v_1].$$

However,

$$3/4 = V[w_1](0,0,0) \neq V[v_1](0,0,0) = 1/2.$$

Therefore the Uniform Tauberian Theorem [1, Theorem 6] does not holds for this abstract control system.

References

[1] M. Oliu-Barton, G. Vigeral. A uniform Tauberian theorem in optimal control. In: *Advances in Dynamic Games*, Birkhäuser, Boston, pp. 199-215, 2013.
Erratum https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00661833v2